

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,800	VOGT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C Ruddock	1771	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ula C Ruddock. (3) _____.
 (2) Jeffery Bacon. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ULA RUDDOCK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant has agreed to cancel the non-elected, withdrawn claims. Applicant has also agreed to cancel claims 18, 25, and 26 in order to put the case in compliant status. The Examiner will be adding claims 78-80 by rewriting claims 18, 25, and 26. Applicant has agreed to change the incorrect status identifiers (i.e. presently amended) to "presently presented."